SCHOOL:	NAME OF EVALUATOR:



ERCO Charter Application and Interview Questionnaire Evaluation Rubric – Schools Changing Sponsors

1. MISSION, VISION & ALIGNM	ENT		
Evaluation Criteria (question #3, #17))		
	the purpose of the charter school		
The mission is clear, concise, co			
	hout all sections of the application and	•	
	description of what the school looks lil	_	
	igned to the mission and have produce		
The key design elements are su	upported by research and/or evidence	of success in the school.	
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:	
	1		
	1		
	1		
2. EDUCATION PROGRAM AND	GOALS		
Evaluation Criteria (question #13, #16-17, #23 (b))			
	r, coherent and supported by research	and/or evidence of success	
The education program has pro			
	istent with the school's mission and pr		
	its a clear and coherent framework for	teaching and learning, and	
demonstrates alignment with o			
	specific, measurable, ambitious, attain		
-	n with the school's mission and educat		1
Goals set high standards for student learning and school operations			
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:	
	1		1
	1		
	1		
	1		

Page 1 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018

SCHOOL:	NA	State million of Casa Maria (Casa Maria Casa		
3. EDUCATION PROGRAM:	CURRICULUM, PEDAGOGY, ASSESSM	IENT, PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT		
	-16, #17 (a)(b)(c)(d)(e)(h)(i), #18 (d)(c)			
1 5	th the school's mission and education			
•	r and coherent framework for teachin	g and learning, particularly in core acade	mic areas, that incorporates or	
exceeds state standards				
. •	tructional strategies meet the needs o		out and accountability.	
		pate in the statewide system of assessme essments, as well as how these assessme	•	
and high expectations	and renability of hon-standardized ass	essinents, as well as now these assessine	ints are anglied with the school design	
	oractice of measuring and reporting th	ne educational performance and progress	of students	
Explains how the school us	es assessment data to drive key decisi	ions that improve academic outcomes		
•	nd retention policies and criteria			
•	iding parents/guardians frequent info	rmation on their children's performance	and progress, and for notification if a	
child may be retained Explains how the needs of	tanchars will be assessed			
•		am that assesses and meets the needs of	findividual teachers	
Demonstrates a comprehe	have professional development progr	an that assesses and meets the needs of	marvidual teachers.	
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1	
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:		

Page 2 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018

SCHOOL:	NAME OF EVALUATOR:		The state of the s
of failing Demonstrates that adequate r Describes the specific services Demonstrates that laws and re		eting the needs of all students nd outside the classroom k students are followed	ge learners, and other students at risk
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1
Evaluation Criteria (question #17 (f)(Describes appropriate and effe Includes evidence that the sch	Weaknesses: HOOL CULTURE AND DISCIPLINE j)(k)) ective strategies to support a school cl ool has established and maintained a dent discipline, suspension, and expu	safe environment conducive to learni	ng
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:	

Page 3 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018

SCHOOL:	NAME	OF EVALUATOR:	The control of the co
6. OPERATIONS: GOVERNANCE			
Evaluation Criteria (question B, #4-5			
_	_	esponsibilities, supports the school's m	ission, and ensures meaningful
oversight of school performan	•	ove the colored	
	s and procedures that effectively governments	ern the school ge of relevant knowledge, skills, and co	ammitment needed to eversee a
	•	community experience and expertise	ommunent needed to oversee a
	n the governance of the school, if app		
•	ing board members participate in me		
	· · ·		
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:	
7. OPERATIONS: ORGANIZATIO			
Evaluation Criteria (question #8-9, #:	•	and the second of the second o	and the second Brown of a liberal
_		ositions, delineating board and manage Iclude effective assignment of manage	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•	management, legal compliance, and a	•
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lign with the school's mission and prog	• •
capacity to successfully manag		ingir with the seriour's mission and prog	ram and demonstrate lead person s
		ned with the budget and with the scho	ol's anticipated/actual enrollment
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:	

Page 4 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018

SCHOOL:	NAME OF EVALUATOR:			
8. OPERATIONS: FACILITY Evaluation Criteria (question #18 (g)(h))			
Demonstrates the school has a	viable educational facility that meets	the needs of the students and accomi	modate the programmatic and	
operational needs of the schoo				
_	cilities costs including, as applicable, co		renovating an educational facility	
· ·	alth, safety, and occupancy requireme distance of the plans for acquisition and renovation		one timeline and costs and that the	
	ins to allocate project management re			
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1	
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:	·	
	L			
9. OPERATIONS: BUDGET AND	FINANCES			
Evaluation Criteria (question #2, #10-	-11, #18 (e)(f), #22)			
• •	are consistent with key parts of the pl	an, including the school's mission, ed	ucational program, staffing,	
enrollment and facility				
	idence-based revenue and expenditure		to incur and repay allowable debt	
	o maintaining the financial viability of detail and specificity for all budget line		ecal viability	
	nd outflows of cash on a monthly basis		cal viability	
•	on the operations of the school and m		ver in a deficit position	
	Demonstrates that any adverse audit findings have been corrected and that adequate internal controls are in place			
☐ Exceeds Expectations = 4	☐ Meets Expectations = 3	☐ Approaches Expectations = 2	☐ Below Expectations = 1	
Strengths:	Weaknesses:	Comments:		

Page 5 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018



SCORING (1-4 ON EACH COMPONENT)			
1. Mission, Vision and Alignment			
2. Education Program and Goals			
3. Education Program: Curriculum, Pedagogy, Assessment & PD			
4. Education Program: At-Risk Students			
5. Education Program: School Culture and Discipline			
6. Operations: Governance			
7. Operations: Organization			
8. Operations: Facility			
9. Operations: Budget and Finances			
TOTAL			
(NUMBER OF SECTIONS)	÷ 9		
MEAN			

SCALE			
4	3	2	1
Exceeds Expectations	Meets	Approaches	Below
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations

CUT SCORE: 3.50-4.00 = Exceeds Expectations **2.50-3.49** = Meets Expectations **2.00-2.49** = Approaches Expectations **1.00-1.99** = Does Not Meet Expectation

Page 6 of 6 ERCO, Revised 7/1/2018